

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/065,972 12/05/2002		Gosta Svensson	00173.0023PCUS00	3821		
28694	7590 08/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP			DUNN, DAVID R			
400 EAST TO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005	3616				

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/065,97	SVENSSON ET A		↓ L.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		David Dur	ın	3616				
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHOF WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure tr Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod from the provision of	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. Itutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this of the control of				
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on 30 December 2	005.					
• -	•	2b) ☐ This action is n						
3)∐ Si								
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 10-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10,12-16,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>7,11,17 and 20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□ C	aim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election r	equirement.					
Application	Papers							
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ Th	e drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December</u>	<u>r 2002</u> is/are: a)∐ a	ccepted or b)⊠ obje	ected to by the Exar	miner.			
	oplicant may not request that any object							
R	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Offi	ice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority une	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Ad a)⊠	knowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
′—	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internatio	nal Bureau (PCT Ru	e 17.2(a)).					
* See	e the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the cert	fied copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s								
	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
· —	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	ai materit Application (MI	U-132)			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment and petition filed 12/30/2005 (amendment originally submitted April 2004). Please also note that the examiner of this Application has changed.

Drawings

1. Applicant noted that a formal amended version of Figure 2 was submitted with the response, however this Figure was not found in the case. The examiner requests that Applicant resubmit this Figure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 is indefinite as it is dependent from a claim that has been canceled; therefore the scope of the claim is unknown.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,972 Page 3

Art Unit: 3616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 10, 12-16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuritani et al. (JP 61046723) in view of Palmer (US 4,560,148).

Kuritani et al. discloses a dump truck having a dump control lever (35) located on a panel in the cabin of the dump truck adjacent to the steering wheel (see Fig. 1).

Kuritani et al. lacks an actuator for activating a brake and shifting a transmission to neutral.

Palmer teaches a vehicle having a control lever (20) provided with a control switch (50). When the control switch is activated, a control unit (controls valves 32, 42) activates a brake (40) and shifts a transmission (48) to neutral (see column 3, lines 50-55). Further, a parking brake (44) is automatically applied when brake pressure is lost (column 3, lines 11-19), e.g., when the engine is turned off.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kuritani et al. by providing the control switch and control unit as taught by Palmer in order to provide for easier and safer control of the vehicle. Regarding claims 5 and 16, the examiner takes Official Notice that a sensor to sense movement of the gear shift lever is known in the transmission art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a sensor for sensing movement of the gear

Art Unit: 3616

shift lever so that the transmission can be electronically controlled and/or so that an indicator can be used to show the driver that the transmission is engaged/disengaged.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 7, 11, 17, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments regarding the 112, 1st and the 102 rejections are moot as these rejections have now been withdrawn.

On pages 10-12 Applicant argues the 103 rejection of Kuritani et al. in view of Palmer. On page 11, Applicant argues that "nothing in the art of record discloses, teaches or suggests this motivation for combination". In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re*

Art Unit: 3616

Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to combine the references is found in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

In the paragraph spanning pages 11-12, Applicant recites a portion from Palmer and states that this "is opposite to the motivation stated in the Action and therefore does not support the combination." However, this recitation relied upon by Applicant from column 1, lines 22-30 is in the "Background Art" section of the disclosure which discusses the prior art and problems with the prior art. This recitation is not representative of the invention by Palmer. The examiner maintains the modification is proper.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,972 Page 6

Art Unit: 3616

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-6670. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Dunn Primary Examiner Art Unit 3616